

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
DALLAS DIVISION

BRIAN P. CARR, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, et
al.,

Defendants.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

Case No. 3:23-cv-02875-S-BT

ORDER

Defendants in this *pro se* civil action have filed a Motion to Dismiss for lack of jurisdiction and failure to state a claim. Mot. Dismiss (ECF No. 31). Because the Motion, if granted, would be dispositive of all of Plaintiffs' claims, the Court finds good cause to delay the entry of a Scheduling Order. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b)(2) ("The Judge must issue the scheduling order as soon as practicable, but unless the Judge finds good cause for delay, the Judge must issue it within the earlier of 90 days after any defendant has been served with the complaint or 60 days after any defendant has appeared"). The Court will set a scheduling conference with the parties, if appropriate, after it has entered Findings, Conclusions, and a Recommendation on the pending Motion to Dismiss.

SO ORDERED.

August 6, 2024



REBECCA RUTHERFORD

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE