

**Subject:** Activity in Case 3:23-cv-02875-S-BT Carr et al v. United States et al Order on Motion to Amend/Correct  
**From:** ecf\_txnd@txnd.uscourts.gov  
**Date:** 12/31/2024, 11:58 PM  
**To:** Courtmail@txnd.uscourts.gov

**This is an automatic e-mail message generated by the CM/ECF system. Please DO NOT RESPOND to this e-mail because the mail box is unattended.**

**\*\*\*NOTE TO PUBLIC ACCESS USERS\*\*\*** Judicial Conference of the United States policy permits attorneys of record and parties in a case (including pro se litigants) to receive one free electronic copy of all documents filed electronically, if receipt is required by law or directed by the filer. PACER access fees apply to all other users. To avoid later charges, download a copy of each document during this first viewing. However, if the referenced document is a transcript, the free copy and 30 page limit do not apply.

If you need to know whether you must send the presiding judge a paper copy of a document that you have docketed in this case, click here: [Judges' Copy Requirements](#). Unless exempted, attorneys who are not admitted to practice in the Northern District of Texas must seek admission promptly. [Forms and Instructions](#) found at [www.txnd.uscourts.gov](http://www.txnd.uscourts.gov). If admission requirements are not satisfied within 21 days, the clerk will notify the presiding judge.

**U.S. District Court**

**Northern District of Texas**

## **Notice of Electronic Filing**

The following transaction was entered on 12/31/2024 at 10:58 AM CST and filed on 12/31/2024

**Case Name:** Carr et al v. United States et al

**Case Number:** [3:23-cv-02875-S-BT](#)

**Filer:**

**Document Number:** 53 (No document attached)

### **Docket Text:**

**ELECTRONIC ORDER** denying [49] Motion to Amend/Correct. Plaintiff represents that the purpose of his proposed amended complaint is to correct "typographical and clerical errors" and to add facts "based on events that happened after the date of the [earlier] pleading." He further states that the proposed amendment "do[es] not impact any of the claims in the pending Motion to Dismiss." Therefore, the amendment is denied as unnecessary. (Ordered by Magistrate Judge Rebecca Rutherford on 12/31/2024) (Magistrate Judge Rebecca Rutherford)

**3:23-cv-02875-S-BT Notice has been electronically mailed to:**

George M Padis-DOJ george.padis@usdoj.gov, CaseView.ECF@usdoj.gov, brian.stoltz@usdoj.gov, kenneth.coffin@usdoj.gov

Emily Owen-DOJ emily.owen@usdoj.gov, CaseView.ECF@usdoj.gov, Stacy.London-Doucet@usdoj.gov, brian.stoltz@usdoj.gov, george.padis@usdoj.gov, kenneth.coffin@usdoj.gov

Brian P Carr carrbp@gmail.com

Rueangrong Carr airpk1961@gmail.com

Buakhao Von Kramer buakhaovonkramer@gmail.com

**3:23-cv-02875-S-BT The CM/ECF system has NOT delivered notice electronically to the names listed below. The clerk's office will serve notice of court Orders and Judgments by mail as required by the federal rules. An attorney/pro se litigant is cautioned to carefully follow the federal rules (see FedRCivP 5) with regard to service of any document the attorney/pro se litigant has filed with the court. The clerk's office will not serve paper documents on behalf of an attorney/pro se litigant.**