

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS**

---

Brian P. Carr,  
Rueangrong Carr, and  
Buakhao Von Kramer  
Plaintiffs

versus

United States,  
US Department of Justice,  
USPS, USPS OIG, USPS BoG,  
US CIGIE, Department of State,  
Department of State OIG,  
USCIS, DHS OIG, and SSA  
Defendants

Civil No. 3-23CV2875 - S

Order Granting Plaintiffs'

Verified Consolidated  
[FRCP Rule 60](#) Motions  
for [LR 7.1](#), [LR 7.2](#), and [LR 11.1](#) Relief

---

**ORDER**

After considering Plaintiffs' Verified Consolidated [FRCP Rule 60](#) Motions for [LR 7.1](#), [LR 7.2](#), and [LR 11.1](#) Relief (ECF 67) of 7 Apr 2025 and any responses as well as preceding papers in this matter, the Court:

GRANTS the Plaintiffs' Verified Consolidated [FRCP Rule 60](#) Motions for [LR 7.1](#), [LR 7.2](#), and [LR 11.1](#) Relief,

REVERSES the Order of of this Court of 21 Mar 2025 (ECF 62).

ORDERS that all parties in this matter are not restricted by [TXND Local Civil Rules LR 7.2](#) for motion, response and reply papers, for any motion that considers more than two counts or more than ten reliefs.

ORDERS [TXND Local Civil Rules LR 11.1](#) is extended to permit all pro se parties

in this matter to electronically sign papers for the other parties based on their expressed agreement as certified by an affirmed statement under penalty of perjury.

ORDERS [TXND Local Civil Rules LR 7.1](#) times to respond are extended for all papers other than complaints or answers when a physical signature is required by the responding party as follows:

- one week for parties in the United States for the entire response period,
- one month for parties outside the United States for any portion of the response period, and
- indefinitely for any party who is on active duty in military service for a recognized constitutional government and deployed in an assignment with restricted access. Other parties in the suit must provide timely notice to the court of the status of the deployed party and regular updates of the expected response time.

AUTHORIZES the plaintiffs to submit four additional [FRCP Rule 60](#) Motions for Relief as follows:

- Motion for Relief detailing the errors identified by the plaintiffs in:
  - ◆ the Order denying plaintiffs' prior motions (ECF 60) and
  - ◆ the Finding and Recommendations to dismiss this action (ECF 61) both filed on 26 Feb 2025
- [28 USC § 455](#) Motion to Recuse referring to the errors in the previous motion but also highlighting the time line of events which give the appearance of coordination and collusion of the defendants and Magistrate Rutherford.

- A Second Amended Complaint which adds any new parties desired by the plaintiffs
- A Third Amended Complaint which does not add any new party but does add references to separate briefs which defend against 'failure to state a claim', sovereign immunity, executive discretion and the Doctrine of Consular Non Reviewability' (DoCNR).

DIRECTS that the plaintiffs provide proper service to any new defendants added in the Second Amended Complaint (with summons provided by the clerk) within the time limits of a new complaint.

DIRECTS that the defendants' do not need to respond to either Amended Complaint until after the normal response time for the new defendants after service of the amended complaint.

Signed \_\_\_\_\_, 202\_.

---

KAREN GREN SCHOLER  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE